

The Kansas City Journal.

ESTABLISHED 1854.

THE JOURNAL COMPANY, Publishers.
NINTH AND GRAND AVES.

Subscription Rates: By carriers, per week, 10 cents; per month, 30 cents; per quarter, 85 cents; per year, \$3.00. Daily only, six months, \$1.50; one year, \$3.00. Sunday only, six months, \$1.00; one year, \$2.00. Tri-weekly Journal (Tuesday, Thursday, Saturday), six months, 75 cents; one year, \$1.50.

Advertising: For advertising rates, write to the home office, or to the J. E. Van Doren Special Agency, offices 305-306 Boyce building, Chicago, and 11-12 Tribune building, New York, agent for foreign advertising.

Entered at the postoffice at Kansas City, Missouri, for transmission through the mails, as second class matter.

DURING THE WEEK ENDING DECEMBER 10, THE JOURNAL CIRCULATED 276,400 COPIES; DAILY AVERAGE, 329,428.

Weather Forecast for Saturday.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 16.—For Oklahoma and Indian Territory: Thawing weather; variable winds. For Nebraska: Partly cloudy; southerly wind. For Missouri: Thawing weather, with rain in northeast portion; southerly wind. For Kansas and Colorado: Partly cloudy; variable winds.

THAT REFERENDUM.

As usual? The Kansas Pops have given everybody the carache by their racket over the "initiative and referendum." Not many members of Leedy's flock have been able to pronounce these long words, fewer still have been able to spell them, and now we know that practically none of them knows what they mean.

The initiative and referendum means that representatives of the people shall propose a measure and then refer it to the people for their approval or rejection.

The Kansas Pops in their state convention proposed war on railroads. All through the campaign the Leedy clan, who were flattered in the breeze as he fulminated against the great red dragon of transportation, "Vote for me and the others," he screamed, "or the railroad men of the country will murder you, burn your homes, and sell your wives and children into bondage." Some of the Leedyites out-ledded Leedy in talk of this kind, and not a voter in the state had any chance to overlook the railroad question as a matter to be voted on.

One would naturally suppose this to be an "initiative" and a "referendum" in its perfect form. So it was. The people so understood it and cast their votes accordingly. They voted against the Leedy idea, they voted against the proposition to rip the railroads up the back. They voted against the proposition to put the railroads of Kansas again into the hands of receivers. They voted to let the railroads alone except as now regulated, and to turn the authors of this "initiative" out of office. This is how the people voted.

But what does Leedy care how the people voted? In Leedy's opinion the "referendum" is a humbug to be used only for bait. The people must be deceived. They had no right to vote as they pleased. They must be hauled up with a club bit. And so a special session of the legislature only thirty days before the regular session arranged for by the people!

Consistency, thou art no Pop!

STONE AND BRYAN.

William J. Stone is out with another emphatic denial that he has repudiated the Chicago platform and Bryanism. He protests that he has not fixed up a job with Richard Croker for the abandonment of silver as an issue—in fact, that he has never seen the Tammany boss.

If there is any one thing in the air calculated to show conclusively that Stone is no longer for Bryan, nor for Democracy as represented by Bryan, it is the crass character of Stone's own disclaimers. It will be observed that in his latest denial he does not once indorse Bryan for a second nomination. He stands for the Chicago platform principally because he helped make it; no doubt; but he does not vouch for Bryanism as it has developed since the last presidential campaign—as expressed by Bryan himself at this time, Stone's nearest approach to Bryan personally is to declare that the Democratic party of the United States is the same party that supported Bryan and that it still stands for the Chicago platform. It is still comfort for Mr. Bryan, and does not prevent the addition to that platform of a Stone plank on expansion, with Stone as the nominee.

Stone and Bryan have drifted far apart, designedly or otherwise, and there are many who believe that the severance was due to the far seeing ambition and deliberate manipulation of Stone. But it is certain that Stone is emphatically for expansion and Bryan is uncompromisingly against it. It remains to be seen whose plank will win in the next Democratic platform.

Meanwhile Stone cannot be for Bryan and expansion at the same time, and his weak pretense of friendliness to the ambitions of the Nebraska man is amusing, to say the least.

THE VOLUNTEER SOLDIERS.

There is likely to be little opposition to the mustering out of the volunteer soldiers at the earliest practicable moment. There is more danger that an effort will be made unduly to hasten the discharge of the men in this branch of the service.

President McKinley, in his message, expressed sympathy for the volunteers who desired to return home, and believed it the duty of the government to muster them out as soon as possible, since their enlistment was only for the war.

There is no reason to believe, however, that the president contemplated any procedure that would dangerously weaken the armed force of the country. His recommendations for an increase in the regular army accompanied those for the return of the volunteers. Until there is a considerable enlargement of the standing army, volunteers will be needed to garrison the new possessions and to protect the country. Under a strict interpretation of existing laws it is in order at once to reduce the standing army from 35,000 to 25,000 men, the latter number being the quota provided for before the special war legislation. If this reduction is made, provision for permanent enlargement of the army must also be made out.

It is easily possible, too, that too much attention is given to the various instances of homesickness among the volunteer soldiers in the Philippines and the West Indies. There are many such cases, no doubt, and yet for every volunteer who wants to come home there are ten at home who

would be ready and anxious to take his place. Hence there is no reason to make the duty of this service irksome, even if a comparatively large volunteer army must be maintained for some time to come.

UNCLE SAM HAS A DIFFERENT SYSTEM.

In treating the settlement made at Paris the Spanish papers are far less exercised over the loss of Cuba, Porto Rico and the Philippines than over the refusal of the United States to assume the Cuban and Philippine debts. In a long article the Epoca, of Madrid, gives a resume of every treaty signed since 1757 to show that the victor in every war assumed the debt pertaining to the ceded territory. Here is the list of treaties cited by this Spanish paper:

France assumes the debt of the provinces ceded to her by Austria in 1757.
France and Bavaria, 1814.
Denmark and Sweden, 1814.
France, Austria, Great Britain, Prussia and Russia, 1814.

Five other treaties belonging to the same epoch.

Mexico and Spain, 1838.
Belgium and The Netherlands, 1839.

Eight treaties between Spain and her lost South American colonies.
France and Austria, 1867.
Austria, Prussia and Denmark, 1864.

Six other treaties down to 1878, when Bulgaria assumed her own debt on becoming independent.

We assume that the facts are as stated, and that in each of these instances the victor took over some portion of the debt issued on or secured by the territory ceded. But this does not alter the circumstance that the United States does not do business that way, nor the further circumstance that in a number of wars cited the defeated country paid the debt of the defeated. It has come to be an international usage that the victor is warranted in collecting from the defeated a large part or the whole of his war expenses, and it was through the application of this principle that Japan lately exacted from China, with the consent of all the nations of the earth, gold payments equal in amount to nearly or quite the sums expended in war on the Japanese side. An investigation of some of the treaties cited will show that the victors received cash payments in sums exceeding the amount of debts assumed, and if the United States had decided to exact from Spain the amounts expended in the war there would have been nothing in the performance contrary to international law.

In the Franco-Prussian war Prussia exacted not only large grants of territory, but also the payment of \$1,000,000,000, for which she returned nothing in the way of an assumption of national debt.

In the war with Spain the United States elected to exact nothing in the way of cash indemnity, but, on the contrary, to pay Spain for public improvements on the lands which rightfully might have been taken as the spoils of war. In the case of Cuba the United States asked nothing and got nothing except the knowledge of having released a neighboring people from the curse of tyranny. Every acquisition of territory which has come to the United States during the past century was through sale and purchase, and the deal with Spain whereby we purchased her rights in the Philippines and Porto Rico is not inharmonious with our national policy. The cheapness of the price has nothing to do with the principle, and history will record the transfer as a bargain and not a conquest.

All in all, it may be said that Spain got off cheaply from a war of her own provoking. The obligations which she calls the Cuban and Philippine debts were in reality a Spanish debt, incurred not for the advantage or betterment of these islands, but to enable the Spanish to force the natives deeper into slavery. Had they represented the sums expended in preserving a good island government or in developing the resources of these provinces, the moral aspect of the case would take a different hue and there might be some justice in claiming that they followed the transfer of ownership and authority; but when it is remembered that these obligations stand for nothing except the money spent by a corrupt government in maintaining a ferocious tyranny, there can be no feeling that Spain has been outraged by the Paris treaty. She danced, and now she must pay the bill.

Young Collins has confessed to so many lies that it is doubtful if the jury would believe him if he pleaded guilty.

The country is making a most creditable effort to feel as secure since Colonel Bryan's resignation as it did before.

Mr. Vest shows a disposition to tie up all the congressional punching bags before the session gets fairly started.

President McKinley is taking occasion to put an extra coat of varnish on that last summer's job of sectional cementing.

Just what the Spanish term for "Merry Christmas" is we are not informed, but it will not be extensively used this year.

Those who are predicting a Democratic landslide in 1896 have not their terms confused. They evidently mean a tologian slide.

Mr. Andrew Carnegie seems to have paused for reply. Is it possible that nobody is going to take Mr. Carnegie seriously?

Calvin Brice did large business and did it in a large way. He rarely higgled over the price of a branch road or an Ohio legislature.

Perhaps, after all, it is fitting that the public career of a man like John W. Leedy should end in an act of official folly and political infamy.

Congressman DeArmond has demonstrated that Speaker Reed is the same unpleasant thing to butt one's head against that he was last winter.

Kansas City police should be careful not to run over the humble footpads in their mad dash for unprotected gamblers. Some of these men have families.

"A dried-up little old man," is the way a contemporary describes General Wheeler. Congress would be much improved if some other Democratic members would dry up.

It is safe to say that if the country is to start the new century with a Republican president, those Georgia people would just as lief William McKinley should be the man.

General Shafter's reference to the recent unemployment as "a war with one of the great powers of Europe" can be charged

up to oratorical license. Few popular orators—not even Mr. Bryan—are always accurate in statement.

Some of the Populist members of the Kansas legislature would be more enthusiastic over the special session call if they were sure Boyd and Toucher would be there.

Because he wasn't on the ground, or for some other reason, the Hon. Paramount Mount failed to respond to President McKinley's inquiry, "Who will haul it down?"

That low, rumbling sound you hear in the dark hours of the early morning is the noise of police wagons bringing in the piles of dead footpads slain by the police during the night.

In Governor Leedy's opinion, the initiative and referendum are all right as platform decorations, but are entitled to no respect whatever as guides to executive and legislative action.

As soon as these Chicago aldermen who were frightened into being honest can quit quaking they will begin to boll over with indignation that anybody should suspect them of moral weakness.

The report that Colonel Bryan has gone to Washington for the purpose of expounding the constitution to congress is hardly creditable. Colonel Bryan knows that Statesman Bailey is at his post of duty.

KANSAS TOPICS.

There is a restaurant in Topeka where most of the professional and business men congregate to take their midday meal. The sturdiest eater among them all is ex-Chief Justice Horton. He can take mince pie or pate de foie gras with equal assurance of immunity. And yet up to the age of 35 Judge Horton was sick most of the time and had to nurse his stomach as tenderly as a house plant. Now, at 61, he can eat like a hired man and at all times enjoys the best of health. There must be a moral in this somewhere, but Judge Horton says it just happened that way and is based on no system of diet or habit.

But Murdock says that if he had the job of reforming the taxation laws of Kansas he could make them all right by the addition of matter not exceeding three sticks of type, said matter to contain the provision that all property must be taxed at its cash value. Inasmuch as the laws of Kansas already provide that property shall be taxed at its cash value, Brother Murdock will be permitted to pull down his stack and bet it on another card.

When a thing can't happen anywhere else it happens in Newton, as hereinafter set forth by the Republican: "Miss Alice Strain, a waiter at the Clark hotel, is suffering today from an overdose of hashish, which was taken by mistake. About 5 o'clock this morning she took three tablets, each containing an eighth of a grain of hashish, under the impression that they were pills. In a short time she was affected by the powerful drug and seemed drunk from its effects. At times she appeared to be sleepy and at other times seemed to see visions. No bad results will follow."

Which reminds us of another story. In Topeka there is a Christian Science doctor who writes pieces to the effect that drugs have no power at all, and that their apparent effects are simply the product of fancy. The other night he got up to get a drink of water and by mistake seized a glass containing a mixture of water and carbolic acid. The neighbors say that from the noise this scientific gentleman made about that time he must be possessed of a very exuberant fancy.

Of the girl stowaway who accompanied the Twentieth Kansas to Hawaii, the Honolulu Star says: "Miss Sadie White, the young woman who dressed in a soldier's uniform and came down in the Indiana, has gone to work at the New England bakery and will live with Mrs. Hopkins on Vineyard street. Miss White is a native of Illinois, but has been away from home since she was 14 years of age."

The bear is gone—so come out of the brush them from the Emporia Gazette: A good many girls in Emporia who have received letters from Evan Griffith, offering them marriage, will be glad to learn that he has at last found a wife. He was married in Admire to Lizzie Shaffer. Mr. Griffith has probably proposed to half the girls in Emporia. He returned to this place with his bride yesterday and went to the home of his mother, two miles west of Solon's bridge, where they will live.

"Does the lieutenant governor of Kansas get a vote in the state senate?" queries a Kansas correspondent. Section 12, article 1, of the constitution says: "The lieutenant governor shall be president of the senate and shall vote when the senate is equally divided." Section 13, article 11, says: "A majority of all the members elected to each house, voting in the affirmative, shall be necessary to pass any bill or joint resolution." In recalling these sections the supreme court has held that the lieutenant governor may vote in case of a tie during parliamentary proceedings, but that he has no vote on the final passage of any bill or joint resolution.

Our correspondent continues as follows: "What I want to know is this: The senate being composed of forty senators, can twenty Populist senators, with the Populist lieutenant governor, pass a bill?" This question is answered by the second constitutional clause quoted. Every bill must have the affirmative vote of at least twenty-one senators.

It has been asserted by Governor Leedy, Taylor Kiddle and John Brodhead, and all the rest of the state house crowd that the purpose of calling the special session of the Kansas legislature was to enable the Populist majority to pass the laws pledged to the people by the Populist platform of 1892. This platform contained just nine pledges, as follows:

Maximum freight rate bill.
Taxation of foreign judgments.
Prevention of convict labor competition.
Stronger penalties for usury.
Reduction in rates of interest.
Taxation of all credits.
Exemption of wages from garnishment.
Election of railroad commissioners by popular vote.

These are all of the pledges made in the Able platform of 1892, and but two of them were redeemed by the legislature of 1892—one being the taxation of judgments, and the other an anti-Pinkerton law, the first of which has been declared unconstitutional and the second of which is of no consequence whatever. It might be

supposed, then, that the special session was called for the purpose of redeeming the seven remaining pledges. On the contrary not a single bill has been proposed up to this date which has for its object the fulfillment of one of these pledges, unless it may be the measure which provides for the election of the railroad commissioners by popular vote. It is understood that Leedy's star chamber railroad bill provides for this proceeding, but in every other respect it violates the pledges of the Able platform, which declared unequivocally for a maximum rate bill, while Leedy's measure provides for something else. The Populists have announced their intention of passing a long line of bills on banking, insurance, civil service, prohibition and other of the most important interests of Kansas, about which no plank has ever appeared in any political platform and which therefore have never been passed upon by the people. In addition to bills upon new subjects which have never been under discussion it is proposed by this special session to pass bills which have been condemned by a large majority of the people of Kansas in their sovereign capacity. We find Governor Leedy demanding the passage of a railroad bill which was voted down by the people of Kansas at the election of 1892. The Populist platform of 1892 presented this railroad bill as its most important issue and the people of Kansas declared against it in no uncertain tones. The vote which condemned Leedy and the rest of the Populist ticket condemned the very bill which is now about to be forced upon the people, and if Leedy should call out the militia and by sheer force of arms retain himself in the governor's chair he would be guilty of no greater violence against the spirit of our institutions than is the deed which he is now urging on the legislature.

But anti-railroad legislation is not the thing which the people of Kansas have most to fear. There is a power above the legislature of Kansas which is able to protect the railroads against the imposition of injustice, and they may be trusted to care for their own interests. But there is no power to protect the people of Kansas from the reckless legislation which they may impose upon themselves. In yesterday's news reports we were coolly informed by Bank Commissioner John Breidenthal that within three days after the assembly of a special session it would pass his banking bill, making the state of Kansas responsible for all the deposits in Kansas banks, good banks, bad banks, wild banks and all. When and where have the people of Kansas had an opportunity to measure and weigh this proposed legislation? At the best it is but the hasty product of the mind of a single man, whose career was not marked by a character in the history of the state.

When a thing can't happen anywhere else it happens in Newton, as hereinafter set forth by the Republican: "Miss Alice Strain, a waiter at the Clark hotel, is suffering today from an overdose of hashish, which was taken by mistake. About 5 o'clock this morning she took three tablets, each containing an eighth of a grain of hashish, under the impression that they were pills. In a short time she was affected by the powerful drug and seemed drunk from its effects. At times she appeared to be sleepy and at other times seemed to see visions. No bad results will follow."

Which reminds us of another story. In Topeka there is a Christian Science doctor who writes pieces to the effect that drugs have no power at all, and that their apparent effects are simply the product of fancy. The other night he got up to get a drink of water and by mistake seized a glass containing a mixture of water and carbolic acid. The neighbors say that from the noise this scientific gentleman made about that time he must be possessed of a very exuberant fancy.

Of the girl stowaway who accompanied the Twentieth Kansas to Hawaii, the Honolulu Star says: "Miss Sadie White, the young woman who dressed in a soldier's uniform and came down in the Indiana, has gone to work at the New England bakery and will live with Mrs. Hopkins on Vineyard street. Miss White is a native of Illinois, but has been away from home since she was 14 years of age."

The bear is gone—so come out of the brush them from the Emporia Gazette: A good many girls in Emporia who have received letters from Evan Griffith, offering them marriage, will be glad to learn that he has at last found a wife. He was married in Admire to Lizzie Shaffer. Mr. Griffith has probably proposed to half the girls in Emporia. He returned to this place with his bride yesterday and went to the home of his mother, two miles west of Solon's bridge, where they will live.

"Does the lieutenant governor of Kansas get a vote in the state senate?" queries a Kansas correspondent. Section 12, article 1, of the constitution says: "The lieutenant governor shall be president of the senate and shall vote when the senate is equally divided." Section 13, article 11, says: "A majority of all the members elected to each house, voting in the affirmative, shall be necessary to pass any bill or joint resolution." In recalling these sections the supreme court has held that the lieutenant governor may vote in case of a tie during parliamentary proceedings, but that he has no vote on the final passage of any bill or joint resolution.

Our correspondent continues as follows: "What I want to know is this: The senate being composed of forty senators, can twenty Populist senators, with the Populist lieutenant governor, pass a bill?" This question is answered by the second constitutional clause quoted. Every bill must have the affirmative vote of at least twenty-one senators.

It has been asserted by Governor Leedy, Taylor Kiddle and John Brodhead, and all the rest of the state house crowd that the purpose of calling the special session of the Kansas legislature was to enable the Populist majority to pass the laws pledged to the people by the Populist platform of 1892. This platform contained just nine pledges, as follows:

Maximum freight rate bill.
Taxation of foreign judgments.
Prevention of convict labor competition.
Stronger penalties for usury.
Reduction in rates of interest.
Taxation of all credits.
Exemption of wages from garnishment.
Election of railroad commissioners by popular vote.

These are all of the pledges made in the Able platform of 1892, and but two of them were redeemed by the legislature of 1892—one being the taxation of judgments, and the other an anti-Pinkerton law, the first of which has been declared unconstitutional and the second of which is of no consequence whatever. It might be

supposed, then, that the special session was called for the purpose of redeeming the seven remaining pledges. On the contrary not a single bill has been proposed up to this date which has for its object the fulfillment of one of these pledges, unless it may be the measure which provides for the election of the railroad commissioners by popular vote. It is understood that Leedy's star chamber railroad bill provides for this proceeding, but in every other respect it violates the pledges of the Able platform, which declared unequivocally for a maximum rate bill, while Leedy's measure provides for something else. The Populists have announced their intention of passing a long line of bills on banking, insurance, civil service, prohibition and other of the most important interests of Kansas, about which no plank has ever appeared in any political platform and which therefore have never been passed upon by the people. In addition to bills upon new subjects which have never been under discussion it is proposed by this special session to pass bills which have been condemned by a large majority of the people of Kansas in their sovereign capacity. We find Governor Leedy demanding the passage of a railroad bill which was voted down by the people of Kansas at the election of 1892. The Populist platform of 1892 presented this railroad bill as its most important issue and the people of Kansas declared against it in no uncertain tones. The vote which condemned Leedy and the rest of the Populist ticket condemned the very bill which is now about to be forced upon the people, and if Leedy should call out the militia and by sheer force of arms retain himself in the governor's chair he would be guilty of no greater violence against the spirit of our institutions than is the deed which he is now urging on the legislature.

But anti-railroad legislation is not the thing which the people of Kansas have most to fear. There is a power above the legislature of Kansas which is able to protect the railroads against the imposition of injustice, and they may be trusted to care for their own interests. But there is no power to protect the people of Kansas from the reckless legislation which they may impose upon themselves. In yesterday's news reports we were coolly informed by Bank Commissioner John Breidenthal that within three days after the assembly of a special session it would pass his banking bill, making the state of Kansas responsible for all the deposits in Kansas banks, good banks, bad banks, wild banks and all. When and where have the people of Kansas had an opportunity to measure and weigh this proposed legislation? At the best it is but the hasty product of the mind of a single man, whose career was not marked by a character in the history of the state.

When a thing can't happen anywhere else it happens in Newton, as hereinafter set forth by the Republican: "Miss Alice Strain, a waiter at the Clark hotel, is suffering today from an overdose of hashish, which was taken by mistake. About 5 o'clock this morning she took three tablets, each containing an eighth of a grain of hashish, under the impression that they were pills. In a short time she was affected by the powerful drug and seemed drunk from its effects. At times she appeared to be sleepy and at other times seemed to see visions. No bad results will follow."

Which reminds us of another story. In Topeka there is a Christian Science doctor who writes pieces to the effect that drugs have no power at all, and that their apparent effects are simply the product of fancy. The other night he got up to get a drink of water and by mistake seized a glass containing a mixture of water and carbolic acid. The neighbors say that from the noise this scientific gentleman made about that time he must be possessed of a very exuberant fancy.

Of the girl stowaway who accompanied the Twentieth Kansas to Hawaii, the Honolulu Star says: "Miss Sadie White, the young woman who dressed in a soldier's uniform and came down in the Indiana, has gone to work at the New England bakery and will live with Mrs. Hopkins on Vineyard street. Miss White is a native of Illinois, but has been away from home since she was 14 years of age."

The bear is gone—so come out of the brush them from the Emporia Gazette: A good many girls in Emporia who have received letters from Evan Griffith, offering them marriage, will be glad to learn that he has at last found a wife. He was married in Admire to Lizzie Shaffer. Mr. Griffith has probably proposed to half the girls in Emporia. He returned to this place with his bride yesterday and went to the home of his mother, two miles west of Solon's bridge, where they will live.

"Does the lieutenant governor of Kansas get a vote in the state senate?" queries a Kansas correspondent. Section 12, article 1, of the constitution says: "The lieutenant governor shall be president of the senate and shall vote when the senate is equally divided." Section 13, article 11, says: "A majority of all the members elected to each house, voting in the affirmative, shall be necessary to pass any bill or joint resolution." In recalling these sections the supreme court has held that the lieutenant governor may vote in case of a tie during parliamentary proceedings, but that he has no vote on the final passage of any bill or joint resolution.

Our correspondent continues as follows: "What I want to know is this: The senate being composed of forty senators, can twenty Populist senators, with the Populist lieutenant governor, pass a bill?" This question is answered by the second constitutional clause quoted. Every bill must have the affirmative vote of at least twenty-one senators.

It has been asserted by Governor Leedy, Taylor Kiddle and John Brodhead, and all the rest of the state house crowd that the purpose of calling the special session of the Kansas legislature was to enable the Populist majority to pass the laws pledged to the people by the Populist platform of 1892. This platform contained just nine pledges, as follows:

Maximum freight rate bill.
Taxation of foreign judgments.
Prevention of convict labor competition.
Stronger penalties for usury.
Reduction in rates of interest.
Taxation of all credits.
Exemption of wages from garnishment.
Election of railroad commissioners by popular vote.

These are all of the pledges made in the Able platform of 1892, and but two of them were redeemed by the legislature of 1892—one being the taxation of judgments, and the other an anti-Pinkerton law, the first of which has been declared unconstitutional and the second of which is of no consequence whatever. It might be

supposed, then, that the special session was called for the purpose of redeeming the seven remaining pledges. On the contrary not a single bill has been proposed up to this date which has for its object the fulfillment of one of these pledges, unless it may be the measure which provides for the election of the railroad commissioners by popular vote. It is understood that Leedy's star chamber railroad bill provides for this proceeding, but in every other respect it violates the pledges of the Able platform, which declared unequivocally for a maximum rate bill, while Leedy's measure provides for something else. The Populists have announced their intention of passing a long line of bills on banking, insurance, civil service, prohibition and other of the most important interests of Kansas, about which no plank has ever appeared in any political platform and which therefore have never been passed upon by the people. In addition to bills upon new subjects which have never been under discussion it is proposed by this special session to pass bills which have been condemned by a large majority of the people of Kansas in their sovereign capacity. We find Governor Leedy demanding the passage of a railroad bill which was voted down by the people of Kansas at the election of 1892. The Populist platform of 1892 presented this railroad bill as its most important issue and the people of Kansas declared against it in no uncertain tones. The vote which condemned Leedy and the rest of the Populist ticket condemned the very bill which is now about to be forced upon the people, and if Leedy should call out the militia and by sheer force of arms retain himself in the governor's chair he would be guilty of no greater violence against the spirit of our institutions than is the deed which he is now urging on the legislature.

But anti-railroad legislation is not the thing which the people of Kansas have most to fear. There is a power above the legislature of Kansas which is able to protect the railroads against the imposition of injustice, and they may be trusted to care for their own interests. But there is no power to protect the people of Kansas from the reckless legislation which they may impose upon themselves. In yesterday's news reports we were coolly informed by Bank Commissioner John Breidenthal that within three days after the assembly of a special session it would pass his banking bill, making the state of Kansas responsible for all the deposits in Kansas banks, good banks, bad banks, wild banks and all. When and where have the people of Kansas had an opportunity to measure and weigh this proposed legislation? At the best it is but the hasty product of the mind of a single man, whose career was not marked by a character in the history of the state.

When a thing can't happen anywhere else it happens in Newton, as hereinafter set forth by the Republican: "Miss Alice Strain, a waiter at the Clark hotel, is suffering today from an overdose of hashish, which was taken by mistake. About 5 o'clock this morning she took three tablets, each containing an eighth of a grain of hashish, under the impression that they were pills. In a short time she was affected by the powerful drug and seemed drunk from its effects. At times she appeared to be sleepy and at other times seemed to see visions. No bad results will follow."

Which reminds us of another story. In Topeka there is a Christian Science doctor who writes pieces to the effect that drugs have no power at all, and that their apparent effects are simply the product of fancy. The other night he got up to get a drink of water and by mistake seized a glass containing a mixture of water and carbolic acid. The neighbors say that from the noise this scientific gentleman made about that time he must be possessed of a very exuberant fancy.

Of the girl stowaway who accompanied the Twentieth Kansas to Hawaii, the Honolulu Star says: "Miss Sadie White, the young woman who dressed in a soldier's uniform and came down in the Indiana, has gone to work at the New England bakery and will live with Mrs. Hopkins on Vineyard street. Miss White is a native of Illinois, but has been away from home since she was 14 years of age."

The bear is gone—so come out of the brush them from the Emporia Gazette: A good many girls in Emporia who have received letters from Evan Griffith, offering them marriage, will be glad to learn that he has at last found a wife. He was married in Admire to Lizzie Shaffer. Mr. Griffith has probably proposed to half the girls in Emporia. He returned to this place with his bride yesterday and went to the home of his mother, two miles west of Solon's bridge, where they will live.

"Does the lieutenant governor of Kansas get a vote in the state senate?" queries a Kansas correspondent. Section 12, article 1, of the constitution says: "The lieutenant governor shall be president of the senate and shall vote when the senate is equally divided." Section 13, article 11, says: "A majority of all the members elected to each house, voting in the affirmative, shall be necessary to pass any bill or joint resolution." In recalling these sections the supreme court has held that the lieutenant governor may vote in case of a tie during parliamentary proceedings, but that he has no vote on the final passage of any bill or joint resolution.

Our correspondent continues as follows: "What I want to know is this: The senate being composed of forty senators, can twenty Populist senators, with the Populist lieutenant governor, pass a bill?" This question is answered by the second constitutional clause quoted. Every bill must have the affirmative vote of at least twenty-one senators.

It has been asserted by Governor Leedy, Taylor Kiddle and John Brodhead, and all the rest of the state house crowd that the purpose of calling the special session of the Kansas legislature was to enable the Populist majority to pass the laws pledged to the people by the Populist platform of 1892. This platform contained just nine pledges, as follows:

Maximum freight rate bill.
Taxation of foreign judgments.
Prevention of convict labor competition.
Stronger penalties for usury.
Reduction in rates of interest.
Taxation of all credits.
Exemption of wages from garnishment.
Election of railroad commissioners by popular vote.

These are all of the pledges made in the Able platform of 1892, and but two of them were redeemed by the legislature of 1892—one being the taxation of judgments, and the other an anti-Pinkerton law, the first of which has been declared unconstitutional and the second of which is of no consequence whatever. It might be

supposed, then, that the special session was called for the purpose of redeeming the seven remaining pledges. On the contrary not a single bill has been proposed up to this date which has for its object the fulfillment of one of these pledges, unless it may be the measure which provides for the election of the railroad commissioners by popular vote. It is understood that Leedy's star chamber railroad bill provides for this proceeding, but in every other respect it violates the pledges of the Able platform, which declared unequivocally for a maximum rate bill, while Leedy's measure provides for something else. The Populists have announced their intention of passing a long line of bills on banking, insurance, civil service, prohibition and other of the most important interests of Kansas, about which no plank has ever appeared in any political platform and which therefore have never been passed upon by the people. In addition to bills upon new subjects which have never been under discussion it is proposed by this special session to pass bills which have been condemned by a large majority of the people of Kansas in their sovereign capacity. We find Governor Leedy demanding the passage of a railroad bill which was voted down by the people of Kansas at the election of 1892. The Populist platform of 1892 presented this railroad bill as its most important issue and the people of Kansas declared against it in no uncertain tones. The vote which condemned Leedy and the rest of the Populist ticket condemned the very bill which is now about to be forced upon the people, and if Leedy should call out the militia and by sheer force of arms retain himself in the governor's chair he would be guilty of no greater violence against the spirit of our institutions than is the deed which he is now urging on the legislature.

But anti-railroad legislation is not the thing which the people of Kansas have most to fear. There is a power above the legislature of Kansas which is able to protect the railroads against the imposition of injustice, and they may be trusted to care for their own interests. But there is no power to protect the people of Kansas from the reckless legislation which they may impose upon themselves. In yesterday's news reports we were coolly informed by Bank Commissioner John Breidenthal that within three days after the assembly of a special session it would pass his banking bill, making the state of Kansas responsible for all the deposits in Kansas banks, good banks, bad banks, wild